

SUPERSTAR Elton John desperately tried to cover up yet another sordid sex scandal — using British courts to keep his identity secret! But the “Rocket Man” can’t gag The National ENQUIRER!

In another world exclusive, we can reveal Elton’s former hairdresser, John Fallows, slapped the passionate pianist with charges of sexual misconduct and unfair dismissal.

“What Elton was accused of went way beyond banter or joking around!” dished a British showbiz insider.

“His behavior was sustained and sordid beyond belief.



Elton said the claims would hurt his family

But Elton seems to think the normal rules of acceptable behavior don’t apply to him because he’s a rich star. He’s out of control!”

Elton, 69, settled the case out of court in February. But he won an injunction from a court to prevent his name from being made public, claiming it would damage his family — husband David Furnish, 53, and sons, Zachary, 5, and Elijah, 3.

“Whatever Elton paid to settle the case, he’d happily pay 10 times as much to stop the details coming out,” added the spy. “He’s spent more than \$1 million in legal fees trying — and failing — to keep his name from being dragged through the mud!”

Elton also sued in Britain to hide shocking revelations his AIDS-activist husband had unprotected gay threesomes behind his back.

We revealed the cheating scandal in our April 18 issue despite a barrage of threats from Elton’s lawyers.

Elton also faces a sexual harassment and battery lawsuit filed against him in Los Angeles by his former bodyguard.

Jeffrey Wenninger claimed Elton molested him three times in 2014, and on “many occasions” throughout his 12-year employment.

The singer, worth \$450 million, denied all the stylist’s

Pop star in new gay scandal ELTON’S HAIRDRESSER: HE TRIED TO GROPE ME!

Courts silence stylist’s claims but ENQUIRING minds get THE REAL STORY

ENQUIRER
EXCLUSIVE

Elton was accused of sexual misconduct and unfair dismissal

allegations, and has reportedly vowed to “fight the claim, no matter the cost!”

As The ENQUIRER takes the bold step of naming Elton’s hairdresser, a high-profile British newspaper, The Sun, has launched a legal fight across the pond to lift the injunction allowing Elton to keep the stylist’s name out of the press.

Justice Ingrid Simler, the judge in the hairdresser’s case, rejected a bid by Elton to keep details of the sex claim case secret.

She said in her judgment: “Mr. Millar (The Sun’s lawyer) submits that given RA (Elton) is a well-known public figure, his behavior as an employer ... is a legitimate subject for public scrutiny. It seems to me ... that this is a matter of legitimate public interest.”

But for the time being, the injunction remains in place. Yet in an astonishing ruling that creates a back-door privacy law in England, British Supreme Court judges voted to uphold an injunction to

hide the identities of Elton and David in connection with the tawdry threesome first revealed in The ENQUIRER.

British judges defied a previous Court of Appeal judgment calling for the gag order to be scrapped.

Out-of-touch Judge Lord Mance blasted: “It is unlikely that the heavens will fall at our decision.” But legal experts warned the ruling sets a dangerous precedent by valuing a star’s privacy over the public’s right to know.

Anthony Hudson of Matrix Chambers, one of Britain’s leading privacy lawyers, said: “There is no doubt we now have a full-on privacy law. This is a significant shift in the privacy landscape.”

Media lawyer Mark Stephens, of London-based Howard Kennedy LLP, added: “The judges are clearly putting a marker down that they don’t believe there is much public interest in publishing detail of sexual activity.” **NE**

WE’VE GOT MORE MAIL

We are litigation counsel to Sir Elton John and David Furnish. We write concerning the email from Delores Harshaw to Fran Curtis of Rogers and Cowan on May 19, 2016, in which Ms. Harshaw informs Ms. Curtis that the National Enquirer is once again preparing to publish a false and malicious story about Sir Elton and Mr. Furnish. This letter shall serve to put the National Enquirer on notice that the allegations at issue — like the prior allegations about Sir Elton and Mr. Furnish that the National Enquirer irresponsibly published — are false and defamatory, unlawfully intrude upon our clients’ privacy rights, and mischaracterize the relevant legal proceedings.

ELTON John and David Furnish sicced their legal dogs on The National ENQUIRER regarding allegations the singer was making unwanted advances toward his hairdresser way before the story was published! The document claimed our story

will interfere in the couple’s privacy and tarnish their reputation. A portion of the letter, written by their high-powered attorney, reads: “Like the prior allegations about Sir Elton and Mr. Furnish that The National ENQUIRER

irresponsibly published, (these allegations) are false and defamatory, unlawfully intrude upon our clients’ privacy rights, and mischaracterize the relevant legal proceedings.”



ENQUIRER WON’T BE SILENCED as the injunction fiasco heats up

WHILE British publications are barred from printing salacious tales such as Elton John’s hairdresser harassment and Kate Winslet’s husband’s disgusting party pictures, The National ENQUIRER refuses to be silenced!

Our ability to publish the true stories has drawn plenty of attention — and even some praise!

“The (National ENQUIRER) has

declared it is open season when it comes to these injunctions and the British judiciary,” cried media lawyer Mark Stephens.

“It has parked its tanks on the lawn of the Supreme Court.”

The ENQUIRER even has one distinguished cheerleader on our side in former British Parliament member John Hemming. “The disproportionate use of injunctions and secrecy means people don’t

have to worry about how they behave — they have only to worry about how much money they have,” he exclaimed. “It’s plain wrong.” **NE**

